

**LEGISLATIVE PERFORMANCE AUDIT AND OVERSIGHT COMMITTEE**

Legislative Office Building, Room 212

Concord, NH

Friday, April 4, 2025

**MEMBERS PRESENT**

Representative Gerald Griffin, Chair

Representative Ken Weyler

Representative Keith Erf

Representative Mary Jane Wallner

Representative Lucy Weber

Senator Cindy Rosenwald

Senator Timothy Lang

Senator Howard Pearl

Senator Keith Murphy

**1. Election of a Chair and Vice Chair:**

CINDY ROSENWALD, State Senator, Senate District #13:  
Um -- as the past Chair of this Committee, I will call the Committee to order, and first order of business is the election of a Chair. This term it is the House that will be chairing it. So are there any nominations?  
Representative Weyler.

**\*\*** KENNETH WEYLER, State Representative, Rockingham County, District #14: I nominate Representative Griffin to be Chair.

HOWARD PEARL, State Senator, Senate District #17: I'll second.

SEN. ROSENWALD: It's been moved and seconded. Are there any other nominations? Well then, all in favor say aye? Any opposed? No. Congratulations. And I turn it over to you and I think you have to do a Vice-Chair.

**\*\*\* {MOTION ADOPTED}**

GERALD GRIFFIN, State Representative, Hillsborough County, District #42: Thank you. Thank you for your confidence, all of you. Um -- the next item is the election of a -- of a Vice-Chair, which would presumably be a Senator. So take nominations.

\*\* SEN. PEARL: I would nominate Senator Rosenwald.

SEN. ROSENWALD: {Inaudible}.

SEN. PEARL: There's only two of us here.

CHAIRMAN GRIFFIN: All in favor?

SEN. ROSENWALD: {Inaudible}.

SEN. PEARL: I am {Inaudible}.

CHAIRMAN GRIFFIN: Okay.

SEN. ROSENWALD: And thank you for your confidence.

\*\*\* {MOTION ADOPTED}

2. Acceptance of the December 3, 2024 meeting minutes:

CHAIRMAN GRIFFIN: I think everybody that's here today was -- was here for the -- the last set of minutes, so.

\*\* REP. WEYLER: Move acceptance.

LUCY WEBER, State Representative, Cheshire County, District #05: Move. {Inaudible}.

CHAIRMAN GRIFFIN: Okay. It's been moved and seconded to approve the minutes, the prior meeting minutes. All in favor? Opposed? None.

\*\*\* {MOTION ADOPTED}

**3. Status of ongoing performance audits:**

CHAIRMAN GRIFFIN: Okay. I guess, Director Young, you're on. You're on.

CHRISTINE YOUNG, Director, Audit Division, Office of Legislative Budget Assistant: Thank you very much, Mr. Chairman. Congratulations.

CHAIRMAN GRIFFIN: {Inaudible}.

MS. YOUNG: Um -- so we are here to provide a brief update of the status of the ongoing performance audits, and I will start off --

(Senator Timothy Lang enters the Committee room.)

(Inaudible.)

MS. YOUNG: Sure. Sure, absolutely.

CHAIRMAN GRIFFIN: Thank you.

MS. YOUNG: Okay. So we'll start with the New Hampshire Commission for Human Rights. Happy to report that the audit is complete. Uh -- we presented the report to the Fiscal Committee on February 21st, and we also presented the report to the House Judiciary Committee on March 19th. So, with that, the Audit's complete and there's nothing else to report on Human Rights.

Next is the State Oversight of the Special-Education Program. The audit is currently staffed with three auditors. The team is working diligently to conclude on their work. We're drafting Observations for the completed portions of our work right now.

Seventeen Observations have been sent over to the Department, and we've received auditee responses on 14 of those. We don't currently have full concurrence on any of

the 14 Observations, but we have partial concurrence on 12 of the Observations that they've responded to so far.

There's certainly more work to do on the audit, and as we do with all audits we'll be working with the Department to figure out where we have disagreements, and we'll work through that process with them.

We expect to send another batch of Observations to the Department by the end of this month, and a full draft report is expected to be completed by late summer or early fall.

Moving on to the Education Freedom Accounts audit. This audit is currently staffed with three auditors. Field work started in January and is expected to last through the summer. And as I mentioned in my last update, the EFA administrator's last day was November 25th. He was the one staff member that was responsible for the oversight of the contractor, the Children's Scholarship Fund. We're told that the Department did receive permission to fill that position, but the position hasn't been filled yet.

We met with the Department to follow up on some audit topics and discuss some preliminary areas of concern. The process is a little bit slow right now because of the staffing situation there. We're continuing to do our field work on controls over initial eligibility and continued eligibility, and that is the largest section of our audit.

Currently, we're focusing on eligibility processes for differentiated aid. That would be like the Free-or-Reduced-Lunch, the English Language Learner, and/or qualifying disability. Once that is complete, we'll move on to auditing the EFA expenses. We expect Observations to be sent over to the Department starting this summer.

It's very difficult on this audit to estimate when we'll wrap up given the current staffing situation over there of the Department; but we're hopeful that we'll have

a draft report sometime this Fall, and a presentation to the Fiscal Committee by the end of the year.

Moving on to the Doorway Program. This audit is currently staffed with two auditors. The Scope Statement was approved in December. The team's in the planning phase. They're conducting interviews, performing risk assessments, and working on the audit plan. The long-term outlook for Doorways is to get the report to the Fiscal Committee by the end of this year. And that is it for the status of ongoing performance audits.

CHAIRMAN GRIFFIN: Thank you. Um -- I'm -- I must say that the -- the audit on the Commission for Human Rights was probably the worst one I've ever seen. And partly as a result of that and partly -- um -- just due to the budget constraints, the proposed budget eliminates that -- that area. And we added two judges to the courts that specifically will pick up the loads of some of these -- some of these committees that were designed to speed things up.

MS. YOUNG: Hm-hum.

CHAIRMAN GRIFFIN: But in practice really slowed them down. And in some cases there was incredible amount of money was being spent on -- on each case where if they went to court, the court cost might be a thousand dollars to the State. And we were spending anywhere between 1500 and \$10,000 with some of these boards that were originally designed to speed the process up and turned out making it more cumbersome and costly. So, if the budget passes, there's going to be some significant changes in those areas.

Um -- I was looking through some old audit reports, and I have a question. I noticed that we come back years later and there's still open items. So I had a question for you. What's the procedure at the end of an audit to follow-up on the open items?

MS. YOUNG: There is no procedure that I'm aware of in statute at all that requires us to go back and follow-up periodically. Uh -- there is a self-reporting procedure through an Executive Order. I believe it's Executive Order 2014-03. And that is a procedure where State Agencies have to go back and periodically report on the status of the Observations that were identified in our reports.

Um -- there is currently no procedure for anyone to verify the Department's status of those Observations. Um -- but those status updates are posted to the Transparency New Hampshire website every 90 days, I believe.

CHAIRMAN GRIFFIN: Yes, Representative Weyler.

REP. WEYLER: What we've done at Fiscal is sometimes on those audits we said we want monthly or quarterly reports and haven't done it to all of them. But a surprising observation on this New Hampshire Commission for Human Rights, they came before Fiscal or -- or some other Committee at least and before the -- the Finance Committee two or three times, and it was never the person that was supposedly the head of the thing. It was always I'm just -- I'm filling in or I've been promoted temporarily. I'm thinking, yeah, there really is a problem at that agency. I'm glad you pointed it out. Because when the head of the agency never comes to testify, I'm wondering what's going on here. Thank you, Mr. Chairman.

CHAIRMAN GRIFFIN: Anybody else have any comments? Uh -- anybody else have any comments on the ongoing actions? Okay. We'll move on.

TIMOTHY LANG, State Senator, Senate District #02: Mr. Chairman.

CHAIRMAN GRIFFIN: Senator Lang.

SEN. LANG: Thank you. I apologize. I might have

missed it. Did you say on the Special-Education when you expect to have that completed by?

MS. YOUNG: The Special-Education audit the -- I had mentioned that a full draft report was expected to be completed by the late summer or early fall.

SEN. LANG: Thank you.

MS. YOUNG: So that would be the report going to the Department, including all of our Observations and Recommendations.

SEN. LANG: Thank you.

MS. YOUNG: You're welcome.

CHAIRMAN GRIFFIN: Senator Rosenwald.

SEN. ROSENWALD: Thank you. You had said that on that audit you'd sent them 17 Observations, but you expect to release more.

MS. YOUNG: Yes.

SEN. ROSENWALD: Do you have a sense of how many Observations in total there will be?

MS. YOUNG: It'll be more than 50.

SEN. ROSENWALD: Oh, so it's going to be a thick --

MS. YOUNG: Yes.

SEN. ROSENWALD: -- thick book.

MS. YOUNG: Yes.

SEN. ROSENWALD: Okay. Thank you.

CHAIRMAN GRIFFIN: Representative Weber.

REP. WEBER: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. So it sounds to me like you've got a pretty full slate right now all the way through the Fall. Are you looking for extras at this point or are you at capacity? Because I'm adding up and I think that there were 11 auditors spread out among the four.

MS. YOUNG: Yes. We're -- we're certainly at capacity right now. We're not looking for any new audit topics. There are a couple on the list right now, but -- um -- maybe for the next meeting members could start to brainstorm and think about some -- some new audit topics that they'd like to add to the list. We would appreciate having -- having that list.

CHAIRMAN GRIFFIN: Okay. We'll move on.

**4. Suspended, tabled, and potential audit topics:**

CHAIRMAN GRIFFIN: Um -- do you want to talk about Suspended, Tabled, Or Potential Audits?

MS. YOUNG: Sure. So the first two audits on the agenda there for suspended audits -- um -- all four of these audits are for the Department of Health and Human Services, but the first two are the Bureau of Elderly and Adult Services and Out-of-State Placements. Those are suspended due to ongoing litigation, and we're told that that litigation is still in process. So I don't think there's anything we can do there until the litigation has been settled.

And then the last two DHHS audits, Contract Management and the Bureau of Developmental Services, those are still on the list as potential audit topics, and that's all we have for -- for potential topics at this point.

SEN. PEARL: Mr. Chair.

CHAIRMAN GRIFFIN: Excuse me. Senator Pearl.

SEN. PEARL: Thank you. Just for the benefit of the Committee, I had a constituent come to me with a potential topic of dealing with the Fish and Game Department. Uh -- what I did is I asked them to go talk with the new director, which they did. And so as of right now they'd like to hold off a little bit because of their conversation with the new director answered some of their questions; but the director herself was interested in having a little time to just get in and try to make some of the changes dealing with the concerns that this constituent had.

So this may be a potential topic in the future. I won't put it on the table right now, but I just want to make the Committee aware that there was -- that I did have a constituent asking with raising some concerns about issues within Fish and Game. So in the future I'll probably revisit that. Thank you.

**5. Other Business:**

CHAIRMAN GRIFFIN: Is -- is there anyone else have anything in Other Business?

JAY HENRY, Audit Supervisor, Audit Division, Office of Legislative Budget Assistant: Hello. I'll just mention we did do a Fish and Game performance audit. I can't remember what year. It was back a few years ago. But that was another one, sort of a substantial audit at the time. So you may want to check that out or have your person who talked to you, you know, look at that.

SEN. PEARL: Yeah, thank you. I know they were aware that that occurred and they still had some additional concerns; but I directed them to, you know, go see the new director and have that discussion first and see if they could resolve their concerns, but thank you for that.

CHAIRMAN GRIFFIN: Is there any other business to come? Senator Lang.

SEN. LANG: So, I guess it's a question for the overall Committee. I -- I'm interested in having us do more follow-up on audits to see where we are after we've done an audit two years ago, where are we now with the items and having that brought to us and say, hey, we did an audit two years ago of Liquor. Here's the five things that they still have not fixed that need someone to put pressure, do whatever needs to happen, but have -- we're doing these audits. Sometimes it's a fire and forget. We do the audit. We get the report. We're moving on to the next audit before we do that.

So I'm wondering if it's within the purview of this Committee to take a look back at, you know, audits as they're completed like a, you know, a two-year look back and say, okay, two years ago we did this. Here's where we are. We fixed all of these deficiencies, but these deficiencies still outstanding. Maybe give some better highlighting and direction.

CHAIRMAN GRIFFIN: Representative Weyler.

REP. WEYLER: Well, you mentioned Liquor Commission and it brings up an audit that it was very lengthy, 300 pages, but it was very thorough. And the House looked at it and we found that the enforcement branch were not doing the description of their duties. And I think probably Mr. Henry will remember this, was to go and check on all the places that served liquor, make sure they were not over serving or serving someone that didn't have an ID and so on. And it turned out that the enforcement branch wasn't doing as much of that as we thought. There were like -- I can't remember how many of them there were, but they should have covered all 1400 in a year's work. They only covered half of them. One of them they visited 35 times. Others they visited zero and they seem to spend a lot of time getting with local cops to do sobriety checks. And we thought, you know,

you're not doing what we asked you to do.

So when the -- when it came before the House, we removed the law enforcement from them and made them educators so they would go around. They could still do their job, and then they could withdraw the license if they saw something going wrong. But they was -- and then we asked for follow-up reports and some of the reports I got from Liquor were like two of them saved lives. They were there at the scene when somebody had an accident on the road and, you know, this kind of thing. I thought this isn't the description of your duties.

So we took -- we took their badges away. It went to the Senate and the Senate reinstalled the law enforcement. So I'm asking you again when it comes to you read that audit. Take a good look. Because if they're not doing their job of going around and checking on people serving, rather than trying to get on the road and look for -- look for drunks driving on the road and having police help them, I don't think that's what we had in mind when we put those people in law enforcement. So you might consider keeping their badges away from them.

SEN. LANG: Mr. Chair. Again, I wasn't -- I didn't mean to get into a discussion about law enforcement. Again, being a former police officer I'm inclined to disagree with you. But that being said, my argu -- my discussion was around the idea of I happen to know we did an audit -- we did an audit of them and I was wondering where we stood with the deficiencies, and whether or not it's the purview of this Committee to have an updated report on a more regular basis that says, hey, this audit we did X number of years ago. They still have five outstanding deficiencies and maybe we want to address that. So that was -- I -- my mistake for using Liquor, you know. I just happen to remember we did an audit of them recently and so -- but that was my point. My point wasn't to target Liquor.

SEN. PEARL: Fish and Game.

SEN. LANG: Yeah, Fish and Game. All right. So wherever we've done an audit in the last 36 months maybe we should have a follow-up to see how many deficiencies are still outstanding from the audit and whether or not, you know, this Committee should take any kind of action or make any kind of recommendations. That was my point.

REP. WEYLER: I get a memory.

CHAIRMAN GRIFFIN: I think the point is well-taken, and it follows on -- on -- on my original question about what we're doing. And I don't know how -- how do the rest of the -- rest of the group feel about that? Should we have the Director think about what they can do to as a more active follow-up on audits?

SEN. LANG: So, again, my idea was have them to provide a list of the audits we've done recently in the past. I don't want to go back to some audit seven years ago; but the more recent audits that are probably have taken attention and either bring the agency head in and say here's your list of audits that -- that LBA identified as discrepancies. Tell me where you are on all these and have them cross off what they've done and what they haven't done and so we have an idea. And hold again maybe do our oversight part of this Commission of doing more oversight of the audits we've already approved.

CHAIRMAN GRIFFIN: Senator Rosenwald.

SEN. ROSENWALD: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. I think we've done a reasonably good job when we get an audit and the finding says legislative action may be required. I think we've done a pretty good job of usually Representative Weyler filing something to fix that.

Where we run into problems are the things that the Executive Branch can fix on its own. And -- um -- we can

make noise about it, but it requires the cooperation of the agency and the Governor. And so I don't know if it's here or in Fiscal that we bring them in and bring, you know, bring up why haven't you fixed this thing that you can do without us taking action. But there are some audits -- and let me just, since you got into the Liquor thing -- let me bring up one that was done on the Lottery about, I don't remember, like -- I want to say like eight years ago that said they weren't locking the room where they drew the winning numbers. I think it took them five years and they waited until they moved to put a lock on that door.

So there are decisions that are being made outside of the Legislative Branch that I'm not sure how much influence we have, except we can make noise about it and probably we should.

SEN. LANG: I just wanted to show up right there and say we didn't do what we asked. You asked us to fix-it, we didn't fix-it; right? So, let them come up and say that. Right?

SEN. ROSENWALD: Right, right.

SEN. LANG: Right? Maybe that'll in itself will inspire action.

SEN. ROSENWALD: Perhaps. It did not with the lock. Thank you.

CHAIRMAN GRIFFIN: Director Young.

MS. YOUNG: Sure. Can I just make one point. I am absolutely in agreement that there should be some more follow-up on all of our audits, not just performance audits, financial audits as well. You know, different things have been tried throughout the years that haven't really stuck. Um -- we'd like to see more resolution of the audit findings because we come back year, after year, after year and, you know, there's still additional issues noted.

Um -- I just wanted you to keep in mind our performance audit staff, we have ten performance auditors right now, including Jay. We have one vacancy that we're not able to fill because of the -- the freeze. So if we were to do the follow-up, it would certainly take away time for us to be able to work on additional audit topics. Um -- but we're certainly willing to consider whatever it is the Committee suggests in following up on audits.

CHAIRMAN GRIFFIN: Representative Weber.

REP. WEBER: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. It seems to me that this might be something that we could deal with along the model that we use in the Health and Human Services Oversight Committee, which is another Joint Committee where people report what they've done and sometimes report what they haven't done. It seems to me from that experience that just having people come and say you are going to be here on May 5th or whenever our next meeting is, and we would like to go over these five things or, you know, I don't think we need to get into placing a huge burden on the performance oversight staff; but I think that having people come and simply have a discussion is sometimes very helpful. And at a minimum, as people have already said, it highlights that something was supposed to happen and hasn't. So, it does tend to focus people's minds.

And my experience is just getting everybody in the same room at the same time sometimes you can actually have a conversation that is very helpful in terms of resolving whatever the issue was. So, not saying that will happen. It's not a magic bullet but.

SEN. LANG: So my intention was not to have you do work except for the point of saying, hey, here's -- here's Liquor's audit. Here's the X number of deficiencies, and we give that to the agency and say show up and talk to us about this. That would be the extent of what I would expect you to do in the Audit Division is give us the list of the

deficiencies, and then we'll hand that to the Executive Director of the agency and say -- or Commissioner of the agency say, can you come and give us an update on which of these you fixed. And then let them show up and say we've done 1 through 10. It's all taken care of. Here's the things we've done. Numbers 11 through 15 we haven't touched yet. Great. What's your plan to get numbers 11 through 15 fixed?

So that would be my -- that's the way I would see it working. I wouldn't want you to go through and do that work, but you also made the deficiency list. So ask you to give us a one page or whatever number of pages necessary for the deficiency list that we could give the agency and say come and talk to us about this.

MS. YOUNG: That's great.

SEN. LANG: That was my intention.

MS. YOUNG: Thank you for clarifying that. That sounds a little more reasonable, I think. I'm looking at our staff going, oh, my gosh, how are we going to do all that? But yes, absolutely. That sounds good.

MR. HENRY: I just have one thing to add here. I was looking at your statute, this Committee, and it looks like the Committee shall consider the need to review State Agencies, Departments, Commissions, et cetera, and make recommendations.

So it could be under that umbrella of your thinking about the need to review a State Agency, and that could be what have you done on this last audit. So I think that would fit within your statute if you wanted to do that. And, again, I think it would also motivate leadership to look at the old audits, especially if you give them some time to -- they're going to come in in six months, what have you done.

SEN. LANG: I guess, Mr. Chair, the next step would be I'd ask that maybe we can get a list of all the audits that have been done in the last 36 months, you know, that probably enough time without going too far back to really old stuff, and -- and we can pick which agency and what audit we want to bring in and create a list so we can have more regular meetings and bringing these agencies in to make sure that they're actually performing and fixing the discrepancies that have been identified.

MR. HENRY: And -- and you would also have whatever they published on Transparency New Hampshire. So you'd have their, you know, here's what they've said so far. Now not every agency publishes every six months their update that they're supposed to do and no one is enforcing that, so. But there are some agencies that are very good at it. Department of Health and Human Services tends to be very good at that now as far as updating on their -- their old audits.

SEN. LANG: Just imagine knowing that they're going to be called in front of the Committee, they might take some actions to update that website.

CHAIRMAN GRIFFIN: Representative Erf.

KEITH ERF, State Representative, Hillsborough County, District #28: So if we're going to do this, I'm totally fine with it. I think we should go back even further initially, go back ten years. Not having to do the entire ten years in one fell swoop, but start ten years back, do the, you know, 10 to 5, if you will. So we at least see, are we really in trouble? And then they can look at the more closer, the closer ones.

SEN. LANG: Yeah, my concern was more that Commissioner may be gone, you know. May be all new agency heads and they're not even aware -- they're not even paying attention. But we know the ones that have been here the last four or five years are pretty consistent.

REP. ERF: But that's the point. Whether they're here or not here the problem's aren't addressed.

SEN. LANG: Yeah, I agree.

{Inaudible}.

CHAIRMAN GRIFFIN: So, how does the Committee feel as a whole about a plan that's evolving here based on Senator Lang's comments? Any other comments? Is everybody -- looks like everybody's with the plan. So I think we'll move forward on -- on that.

Uh -- our next meeting you can bring -- bring some kind of an outline of how we go about it and -- and I think pretty much we know -- we know it's not going to be a cumbersome thing for you. It's just lining up the -- lining up the departments to come in and speak with us.

SEN. LANG: Mr. Chairman. I think the first step would be to have the Audit Division create a list of all the audits completed in the last ten years. And then the Committee can make a decision on which of those audits we want to take up first. And then from there -- that work for everybody?

CHAIRMAN GRIFFIN: Yes.

MS. YOUNG: Absolutely, happy to do that, compile a list. The other thing I want to note is if you go to our website, all of our performance audits are listed there with the most recently released audit at the top of the list, and it's all done by date. So you could easily go to that and just look at, you know, the last ten years, and see what audits we've completed in the last ten years.

CHAIRMAN GRIFFIN: Representative Weber.

REP. WEBER: I think -- I think that the issue for most

of us, or at least for me, let me just speak for myself, is perhaps a link to the transparency site, which I have not yet discovered -- um -- and if there are easy cross-references; but, if not, I think between all of us we can certainly relieve you of some of the burden, because I can think of the ones that particularly interest me, and I'm sure everybody else has their own particular ones that they're -- that they were concerned about at the time and might like to follow-up on. And we can between us think -- think about a list and -- and perhaps forward to the Chairman our thoughts on things that we would particularly like to see. But -- um -- having the cross-reference between the updates and the performance audit, because the audits are all there. That's easy. Would be really helpful.

MS. YOUNG: That sounds like a good plan.

REP. WEBER: Are -- if I may? Um -- are -- are the transparency filings, are they all in one spot and are they also chronological?

MS. YOUNG: I don't know that they're chronological. I think they are listed there by State Agency.

REP. WEBER: Okay. Okay. Got it. Thank you.

CHAIRMAN GRIFFIN: Okay.

SEN. LANG: So the action item for the next meeting is --

CHAIRMAN GRIFFIN: Right.

SEN. LANG: -- a list and then so we can discuss. Not everybody's got technology in front of them or anything else. So a simple paper list of the last audits for the last ten years, and then amongst the Committee we can decide which is the first action item we want to take up.

MS. YOUNG: That sounds good.

CHAIRMAN GRIFFIN: Senator Rosenwald.

SEN. ROSENWALD: Thank you. Would it be possible on that list to outline how many findings there were so that if there were five findings we might set it aside; but if there are 50 we might want to take a look.

MS. YOUNG: Yes, we can do that.

SEN. ROSENWALD: Thank you.

CHAIRMAN GRIFFIN: Representative Weyler.

MR. HENRY: And I think we could also take the -- their reported response. We can also say how many of those have they said they've completed. So I think we can give you a --

{Inaudible}.

MR. HENRY: Yeah.

CHAIRMAN GRIFFIN: Representative Weyler.

REP. WEYLER: Please let your auditors know how much I value their work and how much I find it helpful when we do the budget. Very good stuff.

MS. YOUNG: I will do that. Thank you.

CHAIRMAN GRIFFIN: Is there any other business to come before the Committee?

## **6. Date of next meeting and adjournment**

CHAIRMAN GRIFFIN: Um -- the last thing on the agenda is a date of the next meeting. And -- um -- I guess that you people will let us know when we need to get together again when we have something to discuss.

MR. HENRY: Well, it looks like -- the audits will -- will be finished -- some of them will start to be finished around the end of the year. So we'll need new topics so when auditors come off those audits they have something to go to. So that's -- that's months away. But the -- the thing you were just talking about, you know, that you may want to happen sooner.

CHAIRMAN GRIFFIN: Yes.

MR. HENRY: So it's really up to call of the Chair and the Committee when you want us to get that ready for you and when you want to start. That's like a separate process, at least in my mind.

CHAIRMAN GRIFFIN: Well, what's a realistic timetable, do you think, on that?

MR. HENRY: I mean, we could -- within a month we could -- yeah, easily in a month we could have that, you know, that list ready for you. So it's really up to when you want to come together again --

CHAIRMAN GRIFFIN: Okay.

MR. HENRY: -- and start that process.

SEN. LANG: Mr. Chairman, make a recommendation? If it's a month, we look at the first Friday of every month as a standing meeting for us.

CHAIRMAN GRIFFIN: Yeah.

SEN. LANG: So that we know that next month we'll get the list of agencies, and then we can make a decision on there. Notify the agency, then come back the next month to respond to our first --

CHAIRMAN GRIFFIN: Right.

SEN. LANG: Right? So we look at the first of every month, first Friday of every month as a standing committee date for this oversight process.

CHAIRMAN GRIFFIN: Is the Committee good with that? Okay. So what's the date?

SEN. LANG: May 2nd would be the date and then --

CHAIRMAN GRIFFIN: May 2nd.

REP. ERF: 10 a.m.?

CHAIRMAN GRIFFIN: Hm?

REP. ERF: 10 a.m.

CHAIRMAN GRIFFIN: 10 a.m., May 2nd. Does that work for you people?

MS. YOUNG: Unfortunately -- we can take a look at that date and if -- if it turns out there's a conflict, I can have Jay reach out to -- to you, the Chair.

CHAIRMAN GRIFFIN: Okay. That'll work. Okay. I guess we're adjourned. Motion to adjourn.

\*\* SEN. LANG: So move.

SEN. PEARL: Second.

REP. WEYLER: Second.

CHAIRMAN GRIFFIN: All in favor?

\*\*\* **{MOTION ADOPTED}**

(The Committee meeting adjourned.)

C E R T I F I C A T E

I, Cecelia A. Trask, a Licensed Court Reporter in the State of New Hampshire, do hereby certify that the foregoing is a correct transcript from the official audio recording of the proceeding in the above-entitled matter to the best of my professional skill and ability.

---

Cecelia A. Trask

NH Licensed Court Reporter - LCR#00047